

IHS Chemical

Process Economics Program

Review 2013-08

Coal-Based MEG Production by
Sinopec SRIPT Process

By Syed N. Naqvi

December 2013

ihs.com/chemical



IHS Chemical agrees to assign professionally qualified personnel to the preparation of the Process Economics Program's reports and will perform the work in conformance with generally accepted professional standards. No other warranties expressed or implied are made. Because the reports are of an advisory nature, neither IHS Chemical nor its employees will assume any liability for the special or consequential damages arising from the Client's use of the results contained in the reports. The Client agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold IHS Chemical, its officers, and employees harmless from any liability to any third party resulting directly or indirectly from the Client's use of the reports or other deliverables produced by IHS Chemical pursuant to this agreement.

For detailed marketing data and information, the reader is referred to one of the IHS Chemical programs specializing in marketing research. THE IHS CHEMICAL ECONOMICS HANDBOOK Program covers most major chemicals and chemical products produced throughout the world. In addition the IHS DIRECTORY OF CHEMICAL PRODUCERS services provide detailed lists of chemical producers by company, product, and plant for the United States, Europe, East Asia, China, India, South & Central America, the Middle East & Africa, Canada, and Mexico.

PEP Review 2013-08

Coal-Based MEG Production by Sinopec SRIPT Process

By Syed N. Naqvi

December 2013

Abstract

This review presents a technoeconomic evaluation of a grassroots monoethylene glycol (MEG) plant built on the basis of patented R&D work of the Shanghai Research Institute of Petrochemical Technology (SRIPT), Sinopec. The route or chemistry of this production process is the same as that of Fujian or Ube MEG technologies. The analysis of these two technologies was presented in PEP reviews 2012-10 and 2013-09, respectively. However, based on information in the respective patents of these two companies, some differences are noted in the SRIPT technology, especially in the operational arrangement of the coupling and hydrogenation reactors with respect to their feed entry. Conversions of reactants and selectivities to products—dimethyl oxalate (DMO) from the coupling reactor and MEG from the hydrogenation reactor—are equal to or slightly higher than those for the Fujian or Ube processes as depicted in some of the examples. The base metal concentration of catalysts used in those examples is comparatively higher. Product workup or purification details are not provided in SRIPT patents. So, for this evaluation, we have followed the same workup methodology that we used for the Ube process in PEP Review 2013-09.

The SRIPT process, like the Ube process, consists of two main steps: 1) DMO production and 2) MEG production. Overall, the process may be described as consisting of several stages of reaction and reaction products separation. In the initial step, CO (imported or produced onsite) and methyl nitrite (CH_3ONO) react in a gaseous phase in the presence of a proprietary palladium catalyst producing a mixture of dimethyl oxalate ($\text{CH}_3\text{COOCOCH}_3$) and nitric oxide (NO). The two reaction products are separated from each other by condensing dimethyl oxalate in a methanol-scrubbed column. Nitric oxide, along with unconverted CO and other associated light gases, leaves the scrubber column from the top in a gaseous stream.

In the second step, nitric oxide formed in the first step, is reconverted back to methyl nitrite by reacting it with methanol and oxygen. Water is also formed in this reaction. The reaction takes place in a countercurrent gas-liquid column with nitric oxide and oxygen entering from the bottom and methanol spraying down from the top. The light gases (mainly unconverted NO, CO, CO_2 , and N_2) leaving from the top of the column are cooled and, after purging, a small portion is recycled to the first-stage coupling reactor along with regenerated methyl nitrite. An aqueous solution of methanol is removed from the bottom of the regeneration column. Small amounts of higher oxides of nitrogen are also formed in the reaction resulting in the loss of NO. A means is provided to produce nitric oxide to make up for that loss.

The third reaction step consists of DMO conversion to ethylene glycol (EG) in a hydrogenation step in the presence of excess of H_2 . Methanol is regenerated in this reaction. This is a vapor-phase process in which a Cu-Cr-Ba- or Cu-Cr-Zn-based catalyst is used. Some impurities/by-products such as methyl glycolate, dimethyl carbonate, methyl formate, 1,2-butanediol, etc. are also produced in small amounts. While most of the impurities are removed from the EG without a problem, 1,2-butanediol (BDO) separation may pose a problem in producing a fiber-grade EG due to the closeness their boiling points.

Process economics of a standalone, as well as integrated (with coal gasification/syngas), EG plants are presented in this review. Comparative economics of the Fujian, Ube, and Sinopec processes are also given.

Contents

Industry status	1
Uses	1
Supply/demand.....	1
Capacity.....	1
Production processes	2
World capacity by production process	3
Technology review.....	3
Process overview.....	3
Process chemistry.....	4
Oxalation	4
Regeneration.....	4
Nitric oxide (NO) production	5
Hydrogenation	5
SRIPT patents on MEG process.....	5
Process description.....	13
Section 100—dimethyl oxalate production	14
Section 200—ethylene glycol production	18
Process discussion.....	32
Reactors.....	32
Carrier gas	33
Product separation/recovery	33
Makeup nitric oxide source	33
Materials of construction.....	34
Process design optimization	34
Cost estimates	34
Fixed-capital costs.....	34
Production costs	35

Figures

1	EG global capacity distribution by region	2
2	EG global capacity distribution by technology	3
3	Process schematic as disclosed by CN101475441A	6
4	Process schematic as disclosed by CN101475442A	7
5	Process schematic as disclosed by CN101492370A	10
6	Process schematic as disclosed by CN101993343A	11
8	Graphical representation of comparative economics (syngas-based process).....	46
9	Graphical representation of comparative economics (coal-based process).....	46
7	Ethylene glycol production from coal-based synthesis gas by Sinopec process	47

Tables

1	Summary of process conditions as disclosed by CN101475441A	7
2	Summary of process conditions as disclosed by CN101475442A	8
3	Summary of process conditions as disclosed in examples of CN101475443A.....	9
4	Summary of process conditions as disclosed in examples of CN101492370A.....	11
5	Summary of process conditions as disclosed in examples of CN101993343A.....	13
6	Ethylene glycol production from coal-based syngas by Sinopec process Design bases	16
7	Ethylene glycol production from coal-based syngas by Sinopec process Stream flows.....	20
8	Ethylene glycol production from coal-based syngas by Sinopec process Major equipment	27
9	Ethylene glycol production from coal by Sinopec process Major equipment	30
10	Ethylene glycol production from coal-based syngas by Sinopec process Utilities summary	36
11	Ethylene glycol production from coal-based syngas by Sinopec process Total capital investment	37
12	Ethylene glycol production from coal-based syngas by Sinopec process Production costs.....	38
13	Ethylene glycol production from coal by Sinopec process Utilities summary	39
14	Ethylene glycol production from coal by Sinopec process Total capital investment	40
15	Ethylene glycol production from coal by Sinopec process Production costs.....	41
16	Economic comparison of EG processes from coal-based syngas Total capital investment	42
17	Economic comparison of EG processes from coal Total capital investment	43
18	Economic comparison of EG processes from coal-based syngas Production costs.....	44
19	Economic comparison of EG processes from coal Production costs.....	45