

IHS Chemical

Process Economics Program

Review 2013-07

Acetic Acid, Update of the BP Cativa
Process

By P D Pavlechko, PhD

June 2013

ihs.com/chemical



IHS Chemical agrees to assign professionally qualified personnel to the preparation of the Process Economics Program's reports and will perform the work in conformance with generally accepted professional standards. No other warranties expressed or implied are made. Because the reports are of an advisory nature, neither IHS Chemical nor its employees will assume any liability for the special or consequential damages arising from the Client's use of the results contained in the reports. The Client agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold IHS Chemical, its officers, and employees harmless from any liability to any third party resulting directly or indirectly from the Client's use of the reports or other deliverables produced by IHS Chemical pursuant to this agreement.

For detailed marketing data and information, the reader is referred to one of the IHS Chemical programs specializing in marketing research. THE IHS CHEMICAL ECONOMICS HANDBOOK Program covers most major chemicals and chemical products produced throughout the world. In addition the IHS DIRECTORY OF CHEMICAL PRODUCERS services provide detailed lists of chemical producers by company, product, and plant for the United States, Europe, East Asia, China, India, South & Central America, the Middle East & Africa, Canada, and Mexico.

PEP Review 2013-07

Acetic Acid, Update of the BP Cativa Process

By P D Pavlechko, PhD

June 2013

Abstract

Monsanto began the changeover from oxidation routes via acetaldehyde to homogeneous rhodium-catalyzed methanol carbonylation with their “high water” process. Since then, Celanese has developed a homogeneous rhodium-catalyzed “low water” process called AO Plus, Chiyoda devised a heterogeneous rhodium-catalyzed “low water” process called Acetica, and BP created a homogeneous iridium-catalyzed “low water” process named Cativa. SABIC and Showa Denko have both devised oxidation routes that attempt to make oxidation economical again. PEP has previously evaluated each of these routes in a PEP Report [PEP037C] and a PEP Review [PEP97-12], and more recently updated all but the BP route in a series of PEP Reviews [PEP201201, PEP201202, PEP201301]. Hence, this review updates the BP route, incorporating new technology patented (or applied for) since the last evaluation, and updates the economy of scale to the new world scale of 600 thousand metric tons per year (kta).

The technology comparison would actually be academic assuming 600 kta, because none of the plants that BP has built appear to be at that scale, as noted in the CEH Report on acetic acid [CEH602.5000], the production capacity by company database [CMAI120418], and world acetyls report [CMAI2011WAA]. BP plants seem to be limited to 500 kta instead, which we initially assumed was because of equipment size limitations in the refining section, which proved to be false. Since BP actually designs for 500 kta, we evaluate the technology at the 600 kta capacity with a 300 kta and 1,200 kta scale range, but we add a second base case at 500 kta. The 600 kta base case and the 300–1,200 kta range are presented to compare technology against the Celanese and Chiyoda designs, while the 500 kta alternate base case allows actual plant comparisons.

While the process is labeled as being attributed to BP, it is actually our own independent interpretation of the BP patent literature and may not reflect in whole or in part the actual BP plant configuration. We do believe that it is sufficiently representative of the process to estimate the plant economics however, within the range of accuracy for economic evaluations of conceptual process designs.

Contents

Introduction.....	1
Technology review.....	1
Chemistry.....	13
Process description.....	15
Section 100: methanol carbonylation.....	16
Section 200: product purification.....	16
Process discussion.....	23
Technology assumptions.....	23
Reaction assumptions.....	23
Separation assumptions.....	26
Materials of construction assumptions.....	26
Cost estimates.....	27
Capital costs.....	27
Production costs.....	27
Conclusions.....	33
References.....	36

Figures

1	Acetic acid via the BP Cativa process Process flow diagram.....	43
2	Acetic acid via the BP Cativa process Reactor configurations.....	25
3	Acetic acid via the BP Cativa process Economic comparison	35

Tables

1	Alternate BP technology Oxidation patent summary.....	3
2	Alternate BP technology Anhydrous carbonylation patent summary	4
3	Alternate BP technology Heterogeneous catalysis patent summary	6
4	Alternate BP technology Other systems patent summary.....	8
5	Acetic acid via the BP Cativa process Patent summary	9
6	Acetic acid via the BP Cativa process Design bases and assumptions.....	17
7	Acetic acid via the BP Cativa process Stream flows	18
8	Acetic acid via the BP Cativa process Major equipment.....	20
9	Acetic acid via the BP Cativa process Utilities summary.....	22
10	Acetic acid via the BP Cativa process Total capital investment.....	29
11	Acetic acid via the BP Cativa process Capital investment by section.....	30
12	Acetic acid via the BP Cativa process Production costs.....	31
13	Acetic acid via the BP Cativa process Production cost comparison.....	34