

Abstract
Process Economics Program Report 237A
REFINERY CO₂ EMISSION REDUCTION
(December 2011)

Signers of the Kyoto Protocol in 1997 and later, including the European Union, Japan and Australia, agreed to reduce their greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions mainly due to concerns over climate change. The European Council approved a Climate and Energy package in 2009 that sets the path for GHG reduction to 2020, including fuel quality standards and a carbon capture and sequestration regulatory framework. The Council's goal is a reduction of 20% of the baseline 1990 GHG emissions, equivalent to a 14% reduction of the 2005 level (with 3% covered by offsets). The United States faces regulations on CO₂ emissions by the Environmental Protection Agency. Reducing GHG emissions will significantly impact refineries.

The largest refinery sources of CO₂ are large utility boilers and process furnaces, the catalytic reformer and the fluid catalytic cracker unit (FCCU) regenerator. Of these, the FCCU regenerator is a key process for controlling refinery CO₂ emissions. Although CO₂ separation is being demonstrated on a large scale for electric power plants, application in a refinery is just beginning to be analyzed. Pilot plant demonstrations are in progress.

In this report, technologies to reduce CO₂ refinery emissions are reviewed with a focus on absorption by amine solvents and oxy-combustion. We then develop grassroots process economics for capturing CO₂ from flue gas produced by a 40,000 BPSD FCCU by two processes: monoethanolamine absorption and oxy-combustion.



A private report by the

Process Economics Program

Report No. 237A

REFINERY CO₂ EMISSION REDUCTION

by Richard H. Nielsen

December 2011

Menlo Park, California 94025



SRIC agrees to assign professionally qualified personnel to the preparation of the Process Economics Program's reports and will perform the work in conformance with generally accepted professional standards. No other warranties expressed or implied are made. Because the reports are of an advisory nature, neither SRIC nor its employees will assume any liability for the special or consequential damages arising from the Client's use of the results contained in the reports. The Client agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold SRIC, its officers, and employees harmless from any liability to any third party resulting directly or indirectly from the Client's use of the reports or other deliverables produced by SRIC pursuant to this agreement.

For detailed marketing data and information, the reader is referred to one of the SRI Consulting programs specializing in marketing research. THE CHEMICAL ECONOMICS HANDBOOK Program covers most major chemicals and chemical products produced in the United States and the WORLD PETROCHEMICALS PROGRAM covers major hydrocarbons and their derivatives on a worldwide basis. In addition the SRIC DIRECTORY OF CHEMICAL PRODUCERS services provide detailed lists of chemical producers by company, product, and plant for the United States, Western Europe, Canada, and East Asia, South America and Mexico.

CONTENTS

GLOSSARY	XVII
1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
2 CONCLUSION	2-1
3 SUMMARY	3-1
COMMERCIAL ASPECTS	3-1
Regulation	3-2
Refinery CO ₂ Reduction	3-3
TECHNICAL ASPECTS	3-3
Absorption	3-5
Amine solvents	3-5
Amine Processes	3-6
Oxy-Combustion	3-8
ECONOMIC ASPECTS	3-8
Amine Absorption	3-9
Oxy-Combustion	3-9
Transportation and Storage	3-10
Process Economics Results	3-11
4 STATUS	4-1
GHG EMISSIONS	4-2
World	4-4
United States	4-7
EUROPEAN REFINERY STATUS	4-14
REGULATIONS	4-15
European Regulations	4-17
U.S. Regulations	4-19
Federal Regulations	4-20

CONTENTS (Continued)

State and Local Regulations	4-22
California	4-23
Colorado	4-24
Canada	4-25
REFINERY SOURCES.....	4-26
CARBON SEQUESTERING	4-33
CARBON SEQUESTRATION LEGAL ISSUES	4-34
ALTERNATE CO ₂ USE	4-36
EFFECTS OF GHG REGULATIONS.....	4-37
REFINERY STRATEGIES.....	4-38
SPECIFICATIONS, PRODUCTION AND PRICES	4-40
CO ₂ Specifications.....	4-40
Oxygen Specifications and Price.....	4-41
Monoethanolamine Specifications.....	4-42
Monoethanolamine Supply and Price	4-42
5 TECHNOLOGY OVERVIEW.....	5-1
ASSESSMENT, MEASUREMENT AND PREDICTION OF CO ₂ EMISSIONS.....	5-2
REFINERY ENERGY CONSUMPTION.....	5-4
FCCU CO ₂ EMISSIONS.....	5-6
REDUCTION OF REFINERY CO ₂	5-8
Energy Reduction	5-9
Heating Efficiency	5-11
Pinch Analysis	5-14
Steam Generation and Distribution	5-14
Operational improvements and investment.....	5-15
CO ₂ CAPTURE.....	5-19
Flue Gas Composition	5-19

CONTENTS (Continued)

Construction Materials Issues	5-20
Technology Selection	5-21
Environmental Impact of Carbon Capture and Storage	5-23
Absorption	5-24
Calcium Hydroxide	5-25
Potassium Carbonate	5-26
Absorption Processes	5-28
Benfield Process	5-28
Aqueous Ammonia	5-29
Chilled Ammonia Process.....	5-34
Adsorption	5-37
Membrane Separation.....	5-37
Oxy-Combustion.....	5-38
Advanced Technologies.....	5-38
Sodium Carbonate Adsorption	5-39
UNO Mk 3.....	5-39
IVCAP Process.....	5-39
Enzyme-Based Absorption.....	5-39
ARI Process.....	5-40
Chemical Looping.....	5-40
Membrane Process	5-40
Molten Carbonate Electrochemical Separation.....	5-40
CO ₂ Hydrates.....	5-41
FCCU Coke Gasification	5-41
CO ₂ TRANSPORTATION.....	5-42
Leak Modeling	5-46
Leak Detection.....	5-48
Impurities	5-48
STORAGE	5-49

CONTENTS (Continued)

6	AMINE ABSORPTION	6-1
	SOLVENTS	6-2
	Reaction Pathways	6-4
	Screening Studies	6-5
	Heat of Absorption	6-7
	Amine Volatility	6-9
	Toxicity and Environmental Impact	6-9
	MEA Absorption	6-12
	MEA Degradation	6-13
	Degradation Inhibitors	6-15
	Effect of Impurities	6-16
	Foaming	6-17
	Piperazine	6-17
	Amine Blends	6-20
	Mixed MEA Solvents	6-21
	Mixed MDEA Solvents	6-22
	Biphasic Solvents	6-23
	Amino-Acid Salts	6-24
	Other Amine Solvents	6-25
	SUPPORTED AMINES	6-25
	Solid Amine Adsorption	6-26
	Supported Amine Regeneration	6-27
	Immobile-Mobile MEA	6-27
	PROCESSES	6-28
	Econamine FG SM Process	6-28
	HiCapt+ TM	6-31
	DMX TM Process	6-32
	KM-CDR Process®	6-35

CONTENTS (Continued)

Cansolv CO ₂ Capture	6-37
Siemens Capture Process.....	6-38
Regenerable Solvent Absorption Technology.....	6-38
Hitachi Technology.....	6-39
Praxair Technology.....	6-39
Two-Stage Flash Process	6-39
Project CESAR Process.....	6-40
Toshiba Process.....	6-41
Exergy Based Process	6-42
ABSORBER DESIGN.....	6-43
Absorber Column.....	6-44
Packing.....	6-44
Distributors	6-45
Intercooling.....	6-45
Stripper	6-46
Corrosion	6-46
Materials of Construction.....	6-47
Absorber Unit Emissions	6-48
Modeling	6-48
ADVANCED CONTACTORS	6-50
Microreactors.....	6-50
Membrane Contactors.....	6-50
7 OXY-COMBUSTION	7-1
OXY-COMBUSTION PROCESS.....	7-2
Conventional Utility Boiler	7-2
Circulating Fluidized Bed Boiler	7-5
Advanced Boiler	7-6

CONTENTS (Continued)

Indirect Burner	7-8
Design Notes	7-8
Operating Conditions Effect on NO _x and SO _x Production	7-9
Corrosion	7-9
OXY-COMBUSTION FCC REGENERATION	7-10
FCC Catalyst Deactivation	7-10
Catalyst Coolers	7-10
FCC SO _x and NO _x Emissions	7-11
Oxygen Enrichment	7-11
CO ₂ Capture Project	7-12
OXYGEN GENERATION	7-12
O ₂ Generation by Membranes	7-13
O ₂ by ITMs	7-14
O ₂ by MCM	7-15
CO ₂ COMPRESSION AND PURIFICATION	7-17
Sour Compression Process	7-18
CanmetEnergy CO ₂ CCU	7-19
Praxair	7-20
8 PROCESS ECONOMICS	8-1
AMINE ABSORPTION	8-4
OXY-COMBUSTION	8-6
REFINERY ECONOMICS	8-8
Amine Absorption	8-9
Oxy-Combustion	8-11
TRANSPORTATION COSTS	8-14
Comparison of Transportation Modes	8-16
STORAGE COSTS	8-17

CONTENTS (Concluded)

FCCU MEA CO ₂ ABSORPTION COST ESTIMATION	8-19
Process Description	8-19
MEA Absorber	8-28
Compression	8-28
Cost Estimates	8-28
Investment Costs	8-28
Production Costs	8-30
FCCU OXY-COMBUSTION COST ESTIMATION	8-33
Process Description	8-33
Cost Estimates	8-41
Investment Costs	8-41
Production Costs	8-46
Purchased Oxygen	8-49
APPENDIX A PATENT SUMMARY TABLES	A-1
APPENDIX B DESIGN AND COST BASES	B-1
APPENDIX C CITED REFERENCES	C-1
APPENDIX D PATENT REFERENCES BY COMPANY	D-1
APPENDIX E PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAMS.....	E-1

FIGURES

4.1	Distribution of GHG Emissions (2009)	4-3
4.2	U.S. Sources of CO ₂ Emissions (2009).....	4-8
4.3	U.S. CO ₂ Emissions from Fossil Fuel Combustion by Economy Sector and Fuel Type (2009)	4-10
4.4	GHG Emissions Distribution within the U.S. Industrial Sector	4-10
4.5	U.S. Energy Consumption by Energy Source (2009).....	4-11
4.6	Trends in U.S. Emissions Allocated to Economic Sectors (2009)	4-12
4.7	Achieving Refinery GHG Reduction Targets.....	4-39
4.8	CO ₂ Reduction Strategies by Independent and Integrated Refiners	4-40
4.9	U.S. List Price for Monoethanolamine.....	4-44
5.1	CO ₂ Capture Technologies.....	5-1
5.2	CO ₂ Technology Relative Timeline	5-2
5.3	FCCU CO ₂ Emissions as a Function of Conversion	5-8
5.4	UOP Benfield Process.....	5-29
5.5	Aqueous Ammonia Process	5-33
5.6	Chilled Ammonia Process Absorption and Stripping.....	5-35
5.7	Absorber-Stripper System for Ammonia Recovery	5-36
5.8	FCC Regeneration by Coke Gasification Overview	5-42
5.9	Pure CO ₂ Phase Diagram	5-45
5.10	Pure CO ₂ Phase Diagram Showing Pipeline Operation.....	5-46
6.1	CO ₂ Recovery from Flue Gas by Chemical Absorption	6-1
6.2	Biodegradability of Amines	6-11
6.3	Ecotoxicity of Amines	6-12
6.4	Proposed Mechanism for MEA Oxidative Degradation.....	6-14
6.5	Piperazine Species.....	6-19
6.6	Fluor's Econamine FG Plus SM Process	6-29
6.7	Intercooled Absorber Temperature Profile	6-30
6.8	IFP (Prosernat) HiCapt+ TM Process	6-32

FIGURES (Concluded)

6.9	IFP DMX Solvent Absorption-Desorption Tests	6-33
6.10	IFP's DMX™ Process	6-34
6.11	Mitsubishi/Kansai's KM-CDR Process	6-36
6.12	Piperazine High Temperature Two-Stage Flash Process	6-40
6.13	Simplified Project CESAR Process	6-41
6.14	Proposed Absorption Process Based on Exergy Recuperation Technology	6-43
7.1	Oxy-Combustion Applied to a Boiler	7-3
7.2	Process of Oxygen Transport through a Ceramic Membrane	7-14
7.3	Effect of Natural Gas and Export Power Prices on Cost of CO ₂ Removal in Oxy-Combustion with ITM O ₂ Generation	7-15
7.4	Schematic of MCM Power Plant in Combined Cycle Configuration.....	7-16
7.5	CanmetEnergy CO ₂ CCU Process	7-20
8.1	Defining CO ₂ Captured and CO ₂ Avoided Costs.....	8-2
8.2	CO ₂ Pipeline Transportation Costs	8-15
8.3	CO ₂ Sequestration or Storage Options	8-17
8.4	FCCU MEA CO ₂ Absorption Process Flow Diagram	E-3
8.5	FCCU MEA CO ₂ Absorption—Absorber (Section 400) Process Flow Diagram	E-5
8.6	FCCU MEA CO ₂ Absorption—CO ₂ Compression (Section 500) Process Flow Diagram	E-7
8.7	FCCU Oxy-Combustion Process Flow Diagram	E-9

TABLES

3.1	Distribution of Costs of CO ₂ Capture.....	3-9
3.2	Summary of Cost Estimates for FCC Flue Gas CO ₂ Removal by MEA Absorption and by Oxy-Combustion.....	3-12
4.1	Global Atmospheric Concentration and Rate of Change in Concentration of Selected GHGs.....	4-2
4.2	Contribution of Greenhouse Gases to GHG Effect	4-3
4.3	Global Warming Potentials (100 Year Time Horizon) and Lifetimes.....	4-4
4.4	World Energy-Related Carbon Dioxide Emissions and Projections 1990–2035 ..	4-5
4.5	Large (≥100 Gg/Year), Stationary U.S. Sources of CO ₂	4-9
4.6	U.S. Industrial Energy-Related CO ₂ Emissions.....	4-13
4.7	U.S. Transportation Sector CO ₂ Emissions.....	4-14
4.8	Sources of Worldwide GHG Emissions in 2008.....	4-16
4.9	Overview of European Carbon Taxes	4-18
4.10	Federal GHG Regulatory Activity	4-20
4.11	Projected CO ₂ Equivalent Allowance Cost and Cap to U.S. Refineries.....	4-21
4.12	Refinery Cost as Function of CO ₂ Price	4-21
4.13	GHG Reporting Rule—Affected Refinery Emission Sources	4-22
4.14	Canadian Refining and Upgrading GHG Emissions	4-25
4.15	Carbon Emissions from Combustion of Fossil Fuels in Petroleum Refineries— 2002.....	4-26
4.16	CO ₂ Emissions for Typical Fuel Gas Components Compared to Fuel Oil or Methane.....	4-27
4.17	Petroleum Refining GHG Emission Factors by Fuel Type	4-27
4.18	CO ₂ Emissions for Refinery Fuels	4-28
4.19	Energy and Hydrogen Consumption by Refinery Complexity	4-28
4.20	GHG Emissions from Two Types of Refineries.....	4-29
4.21	Carbon, Hydrogen and Sulfur Content of a Wide Range of Crude Oils.....	4-29
4.22	Carbon and Hydrogen Content Varies with Product Specifications.....	4-30
4.23	GHG Inventory for Refining of Diesel Fuels	4-31

TABLES (Continued)

4.24	Contribution of Process Units to U.S. Nationwide CO ₂ Equivalent GHG Petroleum Refinery Emissions	4-32
4.25	Typical Normalized GHG Emissions Estimate for a Petroleum Refinery.....	4-33
4.26	Calculated Refinery CO ₂ Emissions	4-33
4.27	Main Uses of Carbon Dioxide in the Chemical Industry.....	4-36
4.28	Liquid Oxygen Specifications	4-41
4.29	Monoethanolamine Sales Specifications	4-42
4.30	U.S. Production of Ethanolamines	4-43
4.31	Western European Market Prices for Monoethanolamine	4-44
5.1	CO ₂ Emissions by Fuel Type.....	5-3
5.2	Estimated Energy Use by Refining Process	5-5
5.3	Reactor Side Enthalpy Distribution.....	5-6
5.4	Achievable Energy and CO ₂ Reductions by a Comprehensive Energy Management Program.....	5-10
5.5	Results from Shell's Energy-Efficiency Programs.....	5-11
5.6	Comparison of Heat Exchanger Retrofit Options	5-12
5.7	Sources of Refinery Waste Heat	5-13
5.8	Breakeven Capital Costs for Waste Heat Recovery from a 100 Mm BTU/H Boiler.....	5-14
5.9	Breakeven Capital Costs for Energy Efficiency Improvements in a Steam System.....	5-15
5.10	Savings from Operational Improvements.....	5-16
5.11	Savings from Investment Projects.....	5-16
5.12	Summary of Demonstrated Refinery GHG Reduction Measures	5-17
5.13	Typical FCC Regenerator Flue Gas Compositions	5-20
5.14	Sulfur in Coke from Resid Feeds	5-20
5.15	Typical Pressure and CO ₂ Feed Concentrations	5-21
5.16	Conventional and Developmental Absorbents and Adsorbents for CO ₂ Separation	5-23

TABLES (Continued)

5.17	Potential Energy Requirements for Absorption and for Regeneration of Four Generations of Absorption Process.....	5-25
5.18	Potassium Carbonate Solvent Activators and Corrosion Inhibitors	5-27
5.19	Comparison of Aqua Ammonia and MEA Processes.....	5-34
6.1	Characteristics of Physical and Chemical Absorbents.....	6-3
6.2	Cyclic Capacity ($\Delta\alpha$), Rich (α_{rich}) and Lean (α_{lean}) Loading MEA, DEA and MDEA Aqueous Solutions	6-5
6.3	Heats of Absorption and Protonation for Selected Absorbents.....	6-8
6.4	Effect of Inhibitors on MEA Oxidative Degradation	6-16
6.5	Comparison of Piperazine and MEA	6-18
6.6	Amine Thermal Degradation Rates at 135°C.....	6-20
6.7	MEA Properties Compared to MMDEA	6-21
6.8	Effect of Promoters (5 Mol%) in Aqueous MDEA on Column Length	6-21
6.9	Energy of Regeneration Comparison	6-35
6.10	Operating Comparison of DMX1 and DMX2 Solvents	6-35
6.11	Comparison of Cansolv's SO ₂ and CO ₂ Technologies	6-37
6.12	Regeneration Energy Analysis of 2-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-Piperidine and Its Blend with Piperazine Compared to MEA	6-38
7.1	Heat Loss Efficiency Comparison of Air and Oxy-Combustion.....	7-4
7.2	Comparison of Burner Model Outputs for Air and Oxy-Combustion	7-5
7.3	Energy Consumption of Praxair's Membrane Boiler Compared to Conventional Boiler	7-6
7.4	Cost Comparison of Advanced Boiler Integrating OTM Oxygen Supply with Conventional Boiler with Post Combustion CO ₂ Amine Absorption	7-7
7.5	Regenerator Oxygen Enrichment.....	7-12
8.1	Refinery Capture-Cost Example.....	8-3
8.2	Distribution of Costs of CO ₂ Capture.....	8-3
8.3	Economics of CO ₂ Capture by Amine Scrubbing	8-5
8.4	CO ₂ Capture and Compression Unit Investment Cost Estimate for 550 MW PC and NGCC Power Plants.....	8-6

TABLES (Continued)

8.5	Oxy-Combustion CO ₂ Capture Investment Cost Estimate for 550 MW Supercritical PC Power Plant	8-7
8.6	Investment Cost Estimate for 550 MW Supercritical PC Power Plant with Amine CO ₂ Absorption	8-8
8.7	FCCU Flue Gas Composition and CO ₂ Specifications for Amine Absorption.....	8-10
8.8	FCCU Flue Gas and CO ₂ Train Relative Contributions to Total Capital Costs for MEA Absorption	8-10
8.9	Utility Consumption in FCCU CO ₂ Capture by Amine Absorption	8-11
8.10	Relative Chemical Consumption in FCCU CO ₂ Capture by Amine Absorption	8-11
8.11	Oxy-Combustion Flue Gas Composition	8-12
8.12	FCCU Flue Gas and CO ₂ Train Relative Contributions to Total Capital Costs for Oxy-Combustion Compared to MEA Absorption	8-13
8.13	Utility Consumption in FCCU CO ₂ Capture by Oxy-Combustion Compared to Amine Absorption	8-14
8.14	CO ₂ Storage Cost Estimates	8-18
8.15	Estimated Operating Costs for CO ₂ Storage in Deep Saline Aquifers in North Greece	8-19
8.16	FCCU MEA Absorption Design Bases and Assumptions.....	8-21
8.17	Flue Gas Composition—MEA CO ₂ Absorption	8-22
8.18	FCCU MEA Absorption Stream Flows.....	8-23
8.19	FCCU MEA Absorption Unit Major Equipment	8-26
8.20	FCCU MEA Absorption Utilities Summary.....	8-27
8.21	FCCU MEA Absorption Total Capital Investment.....	8-29
8.22	FCCU MEA Absorption Production Costs	8-31
8.23	FCCU Oxy-Combustion Design Bases and Assumptions.....	8-35
8.24	Flue Gas Composition—Oxy-Combustion	8-36

TABLES (Concluded)

8.25	FCCU Oxy-Combustion Stream Flows.....	8-37
8.26	Oxy-Combustion Major Equipment	8-39
8.27	FCCU Oxy-Combustion Utilities Summary.....	8-40
8.28	FCCU Oxy-Combustion Total Capital Investment.....	8-42
8.29	FCCU Oxy-Combustion Capital Investment by Section	8-43
8.30	FCCU Oxy-Combustion Production Costs	8-47
8.31	Oxy-Combustion, Purchased O ₂ Total Capital Investment.....	8-50
8.32	FCCU Oxy-Combustion—Purchased O ₂ Capital Investment by Section	8-51
8.33	FCCU Oxy-Combustion—Purchased O ₂ Utilities Summary.....	8-54
8.34	Oxy-Combustion, Purchased O ₂ Production Costs	8-55
8.35	Oxy-Combustion, Purchased O ₂ at \$40/ton Production Costs	8-58
8.36	FCCU Oxy-Combustion with Only Flue Gas Recycle and CO ₂ Compression and Drying Using Purchased O ₂ Total Capital Investment.....	8-61
8.37	FCCU Oxy-Combustion with Only Flue Gas Recycle and CO ₂ Compression and Drying Using Purchased O ₂ Production Costs	8-62